

Note

An optimization problem in capillary gas chromatography: a generalization

MARCEL J. E. GOLAY

The Perkin-Elmer Corporation, Norwalk, CT 06856 (U.S.A.)

(Received April 8th, 1986)

In a former note¹, the conditions were considered under which a capacity ratio would be optimal for the separation of the last component to be eluted from a column.

When the component for which separation should be optimized is not the last component, the formulae derived earlier should be modified as follows.

Let k designate the capacity ratio of the component for which optimal separation should be realized, and let αk , with $\alpha > 1$, designate the capacity ratio of the last component desired for the record. All considerations elaborated in the earlier note¹ are valid up to eqn. 4a. In this equation, however, the time of passage of the component of interest, t_s , should be expressed as a function of the time of passage, t_e , of the last component by the formula:

$$t_s = \frac{1 + k}{1 + \alpha k} t_e$$

We obtain then:

$$Q(k, b, \alpha) = \frac{k}{216^{1/4} (1 + \alpha k)^{1/4} (1 + k) \left[\frac{1}{48} f_k + \frac{bk^3}{9(1 + k)^2} \right]^{1/4}}$$

where:

$$\frac{\Delta t_s}{\Delta t_b} = \varepsilon \left(\frac{p_i t_e}{\mu} \right)^{1/4} Q(k, b, \alpha)$$

The table given earlier for the parameters of interest should then be extended as follows for three selected values of α :

$\alpha = 1$

	0	0.1	0.3	1	3	10	30	100
$b =$	0	0.1	0.3	1	3	10	30	100
$k =$	2.692	2.366	2.032	1.581	1.206	0.899	0.713	0.593
$Q =$	0.221	0.216	0.208	0.192	0.170	0.141	0.113	0.087
$y =$	2.599	2.547	2.475	2.327	2.113	1.790	1.430	1.009

 $\alpha = 3$

	0	0.1	0.3	1	3	10	30	100
$b =$	0	0.1	0.3	1	3	10	30	100
$k =$	2.148	1.929	1.684	1.326	1.011	0.741	0.570	0.450
$Q =$	0.177	0.174	0.169	0.158	0.142	0.120	0.098	0.076
$y =$	2.713	2.680	2.632	2.525	2.361	2.095	1.774	1.361

 $\alpha = 10$

	0	0.1	0.3	1	3	10	30	100
$b =$	0	0.1	0.3	1	3	10	30	100
$k =$	1.892	1.713	1.503	1.185	0.896	0.643	0.477	0.355
$Q =$	0.135	0.133	0.129	0.122	0.111	0.095	0.079	0.062
$y =$	2.786	2.764	2.732	2.658	2.541	2.345	2.100	1.761

REFERENCE

- 1 M. J. E. Golay, *J. Chromatogr.*, 348 (1985) 416-420.